

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

LAWSON & WEITZEN, LLP 88 BLACK FALCON AVE SUITE 345 BOSTON MA 02210

COPY MAILED

OCT 1 2 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Darrow et al.

Application No. 10/083,245

Filed: February 25, 2002 Attorney Docket: U 013888-7 **ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment, filed July 5, 2006.

The petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment is **Dismissed**.

Any request for reconsideration should be filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this decision in order to be considered timely. 37 CFR 1.181(f). This time period may <u>not</u> be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136.

This above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely file a proper reply to the final Office Action of October 12, 2005. An amendment was filed on December 9, 2005. This application became abandoned on January 13, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on June 28, 2006.

Petitioner contends that the abandonment of the application should be withdrawn because Examiner Truong purportedly stated during an examiner initiated telephonic conference that she would issue an examiner's amendment and a Notice of Allowance.

Petitioner's argument has been considered but it is not convincing to establish the holding of abandonment should be withdrawn.

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.2 All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents

at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

Petitioner was informed in the Final Office Action that the statutory time period for reply could not be extended beyond the six months from the mailing of the Final Office Action. Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.116 (b), the admission of, or refusal to admit, any amendment after a final rejection, a final action, an action closing prosecution, or any related proceedings will not operate to relieve the application or patent under reexamination from its condition as subject to appeal or to save the application from abandonment under § 1.135, or the reexamination from termination. Further MPEP 711.03 (c) is clear that an amendment after final rejection will not operate to avoid abandonment of the application in the absence of a timely and proper appeal, when the applicant simply permits the maximum extendable statutory period for reply to expire while awaiting a notice of allowance or other action.

Alternative Venue

Petitioner may wish to consider filing a petition to revive based on unintentional abandonment under 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by the required reply, the required petition fee, and a statement that the **entire** delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional.

The filing of a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) cannot be intentionally delayed, and therefore, must be filed promptly. A person seeking revival due to unintentional delay cannot make a statement that the delay was unintentional unless the entire delay, including the delay from the date it was discovered that the application was abandoned until the filing of the petition to revive under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), was unintentional. A statement that the delay was unintentional is not appropriate if petitioner intentionally delayed the filing of a petition for revival under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile:

(571) 273-8300

Application No. 10/083,245

Page 3

By delivery service:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

(FedEx, UPS, DHL, etc.)

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3215.

Charlema R. Grant

Rusama R. Mr.

Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions